

1 BILL NO. S-82-10-11

2 SPECIAL ORDINANCE NO. S-193-82

3 AN ORDINANCE approving Change Order
4 No. 1 and Final, Resolution No.
5 1026-81, Southdale Avenue Water Main
6 Extension, in connection with the
7 Board of Public Works.

8 BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY
9 OF FORT WAYNE, INDIANA:

10 SECTION 1. That Change Order No. 1 and Final, Reso-
11 lution No. 1026-81, Southdale Avenue Water Main Extension, with
12 Scheidleman Excavating, Inc., in connection with the Board of
13 Public Works, for:


14 the reduction in restoration, moreso
than originally anticipated;

15 involving a net decrease in the amount of One Hundred Seventy-Five
16 and 79/100 Dollars (\$175.79), all as more particularly set forth
17 in the specifications, which are on file in the Office of the
18 Board of Public Works and are by reference incorporated herein,
19 made a part hereof and are hereby in all things, ratified,
20 confirmed and approved.

21 SECTION 2. That this Ordinance shall be in full force
22 and effect from and after its passage and any and all necessary
23 approval by the Mayor.

24 
25 Council member

26 APPROVED AS TO FORM
27 AND LEGALITY

28 
29 Bruce O. Boxberger, City Attorney
30
31
32

Read the first time in full and on motion by Burns,
seconded by Eisbart, and duly adopted, read the second time
by title and referred to the Committee City Utilities (and the City
Plan Commission for recommendation) and Public Hearing to be held after
due legal notice, at the Council Chambers, City-County Building, Fort Wayne,
Indiana, on _____, the _____ day of _____,
19____, at _____ o'clock _____ M., E.S.T.

DATE: 10-12-82

Charles W. Westerman
CHARLES W. WESTERMAN - CITY CLERK

Read the third time in full and on motion by Burns,
seconded by Stier, and duly adopted, placed on its
passage. PASSED (LOST) by the following vote:

	<u>AYES</u>	<u>NAYS</u>	<u>ABSTAINED</u>	<u>ABSENT</u>	<u>TO-WIT:</u>
<u>TOTAL VOTES</u>	<u>9</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<u>BRADBURY</u>	<u>✓</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<u>BURNS</u>	<u>✓</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<u>EISBART</u>	<u>✓</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<u>GIAQUINTA</u>	<u>✓</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<u>SCHMIDT</u>	<u>✓</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<u>SCHOMBURG</u>	<u>✓</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<u>SCRUGGS</u>	<u>✓</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<u>STIER</u>	<u>✓</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____
<u>TALARICO</u>	<u>✓</u>	_____	_____	_____	_____

DATE: 10-26-82

Charles W. Westerman
CHARLES W. WESTERMAN - CITY CLERK

Passed and adopted by the Common Council of the City of Fort Wayne,
Indiana, as (ZONING MAP) (GENERAL) (ANNEXATION) (SPECIAL)

(APPROPRIATION) ORDINANCE (RESOLUTION) NO. S-193-82
on the 26th day of October, 1982.

ATTEST:

(SEAL)

Charles W. Westerman
CHARLES W. WESTERMAN - CITY CLERK

Samuel J. Talarico
PRESIDING OFFICER

Presented by me to the Mayor of the City of Fort Wayne, Indiana, on
the 27th day of October, 1982, at the hour of
11:30 o'clock A.M., E.S.T.

Charles W. Westerman
CHARLES W. WESTERMAN - CITY CLERK

Approved and signed by me this 29th day of October
1982, at the hour of 11 o'clock A.M., E.S.T.

Win Moses, Jr.
WIN MOSES, JR. - MAYOR

BILL NO. S-82-10-11

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON CITY UTILITIES

WE, YOUR COMMITTEE ON City Utilities TO WHOM WAS REFERRED AN
ORDINANCE approving Change Order No. 1 and Final, Resolution
No. 1026-81, Southdale Avenue Water Main Extension,
in connection with the Board of Public Works

HAVE HAD SAID ORDINANCE UNDER CONSIDERATION AND BEG LEAVE TO REPORT
BACK TO THE COMMON COUNCIL THAT SAID ORDINANCE DO PASS.

PAUL M. BURNS - CHAIRMAN

MARK E. GIAQUINTA - VICE CHAIRMAN

JAMES S. STIER

JANET G. BRADBURY

ROY J. SCHOMBURG

10-26-82

CONCURRED IN

DATE CHARLES W. WESTERMAN, CITY CLERK

Change Order No. 1 (FINAL)
Project No. --
Name of Project SOUTHDAL E. WATER MAIN EXTENSION

Resolution No. 1026-81
Board Order No. 107-81
Date SEPTEMBER 22, 1982

To: SCHEIDLEMAN EXCAVATING, INC.
(Contractor)

Gentlemen:

You are hereby requested to comply with the following changes from the contract plans and specifications:

Item	Quantity	Description of Change	Unit Price	Change in Contract Price	
No.		Description		Decrease	Increase
1.	8 l.f.	6" Cl. 50 D. I. Water Main	7.51		60.08
5.	41 l.f.	Driveway Restoration - Stone	4.19		171.79
6.	13.02 s.y.	Street Restoration - Concrete	20.77	270.43	
7.	13 l.f.	Concrete curb restoration	9.85	128.05	
8.	34 l.f.	Top Soil and Seed	0.27	9.18	
			Total Decrease	407.66	
			Total Increase		231.87
Net (Decrease) (Increase)			Contract Price	175.79	

The sum of \$ 175.79 is hereby (~~added to~~) (deducted from) the total contract price and the final contract price shall be adjusted as follows:

Original Contract Price	\$ <u>15,640.57</u>
Current Contract Price Adjusted by Previous Change Order	\$ <u>15,640.57</u>
New Contract Price Including This Change Order	\$ <u>15,464.78</u>

The time provided for completion in the contract for this project is (unchanged) (increased) (~~decreased~~) by -0- calendar days due to this Change Order. The date for completion of all work shall be unchanged. Furthermore, this document shall become an amendment to the contract and all provisions of the contract shall apply hereto.

Recommended by: Samuel C. Achol (Chief Water Engineer) Date Sept. 22, 1982

Accepted by: John L. Atherley, P. E. (Contractor) Date Sept 27, 1982

Approved by: B. R. Bailey (Director of Water Resources) Date Sept. 23, 1982

Approved by: Robert C. Bailey (Chm. Bd. of Works) Date 9-29-82

Robert C. Bailey (Member Bd. of Works) Date 9-29-82

(Member Bd. of Works)

Attested by: Andrew E. Kennedy (Clerk) Date 9-29-82

REQUEST AND JUSTIFICATION FOR CHANGE

1. Necessity for change: Actual restoration required less than anticipated.

2. Will proposed change alter size of the project? Yes _____ No X

If yes, explain

3. Affect on operation and maintenance cost of this project:

None


Terry L. Atherton, P.E., Chief Water Engineer

TITLE OF ORDINANCE Change Order #1 and Final, Resolution 1026-81, Southdale Ave. Water Main Extension.

DEPARTMENT REQUESTING ORDINANCE Board of Public Works 5-82-10-11

SYNOPSIS OF ORDINANCE This change order reflects the reduction in restoration which was less than anticipated.

EFFECT OF PASSAGE complete project

EFFECT OF NON-PASSAGE

MONEY INVOLVED (DIRECT COSTS, EXPENDITURE, SAVINGS) Decrease of \$175.79

ASSIGNED TO COMMITTEE